IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 20785 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

P.V.RAGHAVAN, S/O.KORAN, THALAMUNDA,
KANJIRODE POST, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.RAVISANKAR

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE SECRETARY, MUNDERI GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
EACHOOR P.O., KANNUR DISTRICT.

2. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, P.W.D. (BUILDING
DIVISION), THALASSERY.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.I.V.PRAMOD
R2 BY ADV. SRI.K.J.MOHAMMED ANZAR, GOVT. PLEADER.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC : 20785 OF 2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 12.06.2007 BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 20785 OF 2007

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

The grievance of the petitioner who is the owner of a building, occupied by the Panchayat for the purpose of ICDC Sub Centre is that on account of non-fixation of the rental value of the building by the PWD authorities, he is not getting the rent correctly due. Ext.P1 application has been submitted by the petitioner before the Secretary of the Panchayat requesting that the issue be referred to the PWD. Grievance is that till this date, the Panchayat has not forwarded the question of determining the correct rental value of the building to the PWD.

Heard Sri.P.K.Ravi Sankar, counsel for the petitioner, Sri.I.V.Pramod, counsel for the Panchayat and also Sri. K.J.Mohammed Anzar, Government Pleader. I do not propose to go into the question as to what is the rent which the petitioner's building can fetch as per the rental value. That is a question to be decided by the 2nd respondent. I dispose of the Writ Petition directing the 1st respondent to forward the question of determining the correct rental value of the petitioner's building referred to in Ext.P1 representation to the 2nd respondent immediately, i.e., within three weeks of receiving copy of this judgment and also direct the 2nd respondent to take a decision at the earliest and

i.e., within another three weeks of receiving the request from the 1st respondent and to forward the decision to the Panchayat without delay.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt